

United States Patent and Trademark Office



MT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/842,512	04/26/2001	Neil D. Nicastro	47089-00051	7331
30223	7590 02/27/2003			
	& GILCHRIST, P.C.	EXAMINER		
SUITE 2600			ENATSKY,	AARON L
CHICAGO, 1	IL 00000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713	•
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*			WF.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Hai				
	09/842,512		NICASTRO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Aaron L Enatsky	3713	dress				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26.	<u> April 2001</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-fir						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-38</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		No(s) · PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/842,512

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-8, drawn to a general game with two game types, classified in class 463, subclass 7.
- II. Claims 9-13, drawn to a credit accepting method, classified in class 463, subclass25.
- III. Claims 14-18, 37, and 38, drawn to a network game, classified in class 463, subclass 42.
- IV. Claims 19-23, drawn to a method for reward determination, classified in class 700, subclass 92.
- V. Claims 24-36, drawn to a scratch ticket game, classified in class 463, subclass 17.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I does not need the particulars credit accepting and updating to operate. The subcombination has separate utility such as a method for accepting and updating credits.

'Application/Control Number: 09/842,512

Art Unit: 3713

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a general dual type game whereas invention III details how to use a game in a network form. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I does not need the particulars of reward determination to operate. The subcombination has separate utility such as a method and apparatus for reward determination.

Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions disclose a scratch ticket game and a general dual type game. The general dual type game includes games of skill and common casino games. The scratch ticket game has games of skill, but the other game is specifically directed to a scratch game have different elements not applicable to other chance games.

During a telephone conversation with Zachary J. Smolinski on 02/21/03 provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-8.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/842,512

Art Unit: 3713

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 9-36 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "a skill-based video game " and "a chance-based video game" in line 3 and line 5 respectively. It is unclear whether Applicant meant to recite a third and fourth game. It appears that Applicant meant to positively recite "the" skill-based and chance-based video game as apposed to reciting additional games.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "a chance-based video game" in line 1 of claim 4. It is unclear whether Applicant meant to recite a third video game, as discussed above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 09/842,512

Art Unit: 3713

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luciano, Jr et al. (Hereafter Luciano). Luciano teaches an amusement game having both a skill-based and chanced-based game, where the skill-based game is the first game and the chance-based game is a secondary game (Abstract). The chanced-based game can be a slot game, blackjack, keno, poker, and other known chance-based games (6:51-54). The skill-based game can be fighting or racing games (5:12-20). Luciano also teaches the chanced-based game occurs regardless of the skill-based game outcome (7:54-56), a cash prize can be awarded based on the outcome of one or both games (5:50-62), the game machine has a recording medium for later information retrieval (11:34-37), the game machine is able to read player information off of cards (3:20-22), and a user may place a wager on games (8:48-51).

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Stanley '206 teaches a bonus game requiring player skill with a physical structure of a shooting game.

Liverance '399 teaches gaming machines that have light guns needing a players skill. Stephan et al. '453 teaches a gaming machines with a light sensing apparatus.

Yoseloff et al. '976 teaches gaming machines can use any type of know computer peripheral

such as light sensing apparatuses.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron L Enatsky whose telephone number is 703-305-3525. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on 703-308-4119. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for

regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Aaron Enatsky February 24, 2003

> VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 6